

Jeff Tuttle
42177 Blalmoor
Sterling Heights, MI 48313
Tel: (586) 739-4134

To: Steve Blau
Fax: 703-872-9306
From: Jeff Tuttle
Phone: 248-840-2909
Date: April 4, 2005
Subject: Restricted Claims Per 10/811,052

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
APR 06 2005

Details:

Per the attached office action summary, restriction was requested per application# 10/811,052. I understand the restriction requirements and comply by restricting my application to claims# 1,2, 6. The other claims are withdrawn. This is signified on the attached revised list of claims.

Please call to confirm that this change has been received.

Jeff Tuttle
4/4/05

Jeff Tuttle
4/4/05

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/811,052	Applicant(s) TUTTLE, JEFFREY JOHN-CARL	
	Examiner Stephen L. Blau	Art Unit 3711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052
Art Unit: 3711

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-8, drawn to golf club head, classified in class 473, subclass 324.

Group II. Claim 9, drawn to a method of designing a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 409.
2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
Inventions of a golf club and a method of designing a golf club are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the club and golf club head can be made generically for all golfers without have to be custom made for a specific golfer.
3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052
Art Unit: 3711

Page 3

4. If the invention of a golf club/head (Group I) is elected (claims 1-8), this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Type of head

- a. Species 1 (Wood): Claims 2 and 6.
- b. Species 2 (Iron): Claims 3 and 7.
- c. Species 3 (Putter): Claims 4 and 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently for group I claims, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052
Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. The explanation of why restrictions are made can be found in chapter 800-803 in the Manual of Patenting Examining Procedures (MPEP) found at the website (<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html>). The applicant is reminded that a signed response must be received by the Patent Office within 30 days of the mailing date of this Office Action unless extensions of time are made in accordance with chapter 710.02(e). If the applicant wants the date to count when the response is placed in the mail a certificate of mailing must be made in accordance with chapter 512 of the MPEP. A Certificate of Mailing form can be found by going to PTO/SB/92 at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html>.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffrey Tuttle on about 2 March 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made due to the phone number 586-739-4134 not being correct.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,052
Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 8 March 2005


STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER